

Strengthening International Evidence on Health Inequality Aversion

AGENDA

Day 0 – Monday 25 November 2024	
12:00	Arrival of guests checking in onsite
15:00 – 17:00	Optional hike for guests staying onsite
18:30	Dinner at the Brocher Foundation – for guests staying onsite

Day 1 – Tuesday 26 November 2024			
Time	Session	Speaker	Comment & Material
Research context			
9:00 – 9:15	Arrival and registration		Name tags, agenda, papers and pens
9:15 – 9:30	Welcome & opening remarks workshop objectives & expected outcomes	Richard Cookson	
9:30 – 10:10	Eliciting health inequality aversion parameters: introduction and overview	Aki Tsuchiya* 20min presentation + 20min questions	Details about the main method used to elicit health inequality aversion
10:10-11:10	Case study 1: UK Case study 2: Australia	15min presentation + 15min questions each CS1: Matthew Robson CS2: Marie-Anne Boujaoude	Ask presenter to talk about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Changes to the methodology - Results - Reflection on the results - Methodological issues - Key policy implication

11:10 – 11:30	Morning tea		
11:30 – 13:00	Case study 3: Japan Case study 4: India or Uganda Case study 5: US	15min presentation + 15min questions each CS3: Kyoko Shimamoto* CS4: Sintanshu Sekhar Kar* CS5: Julia Slejko	Ask presenters to talk about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Changes to the methodology - Results - Reflection on the results - Methodological issues - Key policy implication
13:00 – 14:00	Lunch		
14:00 – 15:30	In-Depth Group Study: Practical and methodological considerations	Kyoko Shimamoto* & Matthew Robson Panellists Eyob Zere Asbu* Salome Ricci* Matthias Barra*	Discussion on current methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How to deal with inconsistent responses, - Mode of administration: online vs face to face, - Hard to reach groups or people with low literacy and numeracy skills - Different aspects of equity in different countries
15:30 – 15:50	Afternoon tea		
15:50 – 17:20	In-Depth Group Study: Heterogeneity in preferences: Political views & ethics	Nancy Devlin & Ole Norheim Panellists Daniel Nettle* Sindre Horn* Zeke Emanuel* Round table	Who should have a say (public vs decisionmakers) Cultural difference between countries (include indigenous for example and other issues) How to deal with racist views
17:20-17:30	Main points and conclusions		

18:30

Dinner onsite at the Brocher Foundation

Day 2 – Wednesday 27 November 2024			
Time	Session	Speaker	Comment & Material
9:00 – 9:10	Day one recap & day 2 objectives		
9:10 – 10:30	Different methods developed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Univariate health inequality aversion 2. Bi-variate health inequality aversion 	Presentations 20min + 20min discussion <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Matthew Robson 2. Jeremiah Hurley* 	
10:30 – 10:45	Morning tea		
10:45 – 11:25	Different methods developed: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Grand-child approach 	Presentations 20min + 20min discussion <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Joan Costa-Font* 	
11:25 – 12:30	Survey results discussion	Tim Doran*	Interactive session Survey shared with participants a week before the conference and participants asked to complete it before Day 1.
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch		
Policy context			
13:30 – 14:30	LMIC policy context and health equity	Presentations 15min + 15min discussion Kalipso Chalkidou* Tessa Edejer* Panellists Mizan Kiros* HITAP representative*	2 different perspectives explores: International organization (Global Fund), & how governments take decisions in LMIC

14:30 – 15:30	Other examples of equity in healthcare systems 1. Norway 2. Australia/Asian countries/ HITAP	Presentations 15min + 15min discussion 1. Sindre Horn 2. HITAP representative*	How to make it happen? How to move it into policy?
15:30 – 15:45	Afternoon tea		
15:45 – 17:15	In-Depth group study: Translation of research findings into policy	Richard Cookson & Andrew Mirelman Panellist: Stephanie Vollenweider* Hong Wang* Toomas Palu* Round tables	Following from the previous session, we would think more specifically of the steps to make it happen Example of how the results of the health inequality aversion study in the UK prompted policy dialogue with NICE
17:15 – 17:30	Wrap up and concluding remarks		
18:30	Dinner onsite at the Brocher Foundation		

Option 1 – if policy makers are staying on day 3 – FOCUS ON COMING OUT WITH A RESEARCH AGENDA

Day 3 – Thursday 28 November 2024			
Time	Session	Speaker	Comment & Material
9:00 – 9:10	Day 1 & 2 recap & day 3 objectives		
9:10 – 10:40	In-Depth group study: Strategies to tackle limitations and the way forward	Mizan Kiros* & Richard Cookson	Criticism session of methods and different designs – Going forward how do we tackle the limitations?
10:40 – 11:00	Morning tea		
11:00 – 12:00	Group reflexion and brainstorming Policy agenda and Action points to strengthen this work into policy	Zeke Emanuel* & Aki Tsuchiya*	How to go from results to policy? The emphasis here is on the strategic vision and planning for long-term policy influence.
12:00 – 12:30	Next steps & concluding remarks	Nancy Devlin	
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch		
13:30	Check out and departure		

Option 2 – if policy makers are not staying all day on day 3

Day 3 – Thursday 28 November 2024			
Time	Session	Speaker	Comment & Material
9:00 – 9:05	Day 1 & 2 recap & day 3 objectives		
9:05 – 10:00	Group reflection and brainstorming a research agenda	Mizan Kiros* & Matthew Robson	

10:00 – 10:15	Morning tea		
10:15 – 11:00	Map out an outline for a paper Draft outline	Richard Cookson	Different sections to be included And allocate sections to groups
11:00 – 12:00	Writing time		Start writing bullet point under each section
12:00 – 12:30	Next steps & plan for future collaborative efforts	Nancy Devlin	
12:30 – 13:30	Lunch		
13:30	Check out and departure		

- Participants to be confirmed